

- 9 a.m.-10 a.m.—Introductory remarks and DOE presentation of issues.
 10 a.m.-1 p.m.—Scheduled speakers and comments/questions from audience.
 1 p.m.-2 p.m.—Lunch.
 2 p.m.-2:45 p.m.—DOE presentation of issues (same as morning session).
 2:45 p.m.-7 p.m.—Scheduled speakers and comments/questions from audience.

PROPOSED SECOND-DAY AGENDA

- 9 a.m.-9:45 a.m.—DOE presentation of issues.
 9:45 a.m.-1 p.m.—Scheduled speakers and comments/questions from audience.
 1 p.m.-2 p.m.—Lunch.
 2 p.m.-7 p.m.—Scheduled speakers and comments/questions from audience.

3. **Written Comments.** All interested persons are invited to participate in the IRG process by submitting data, views or arguments with respect to the subjects identified and in accordance with the suggested format. Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and on documents and submitted to Mr. Allan Smith, Department of Energy, 175 West Jackson Boulevard, room A-333 Chicago, Ill. 60604. All written comments must be postmarked on or before August 2, 1978, to be considered in the IRG process.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. DOE reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination. Any person who has an interest in this proceeding or who is a representative of a group of persons that has an interest, may make a written or oral request in advance of the meeting for an opportunity to make a presentation. Such a request should be directed to the Regional address or telephone number listed above and in accordance with the request procedures set forth below. Requests must be received three days before the appropriate regional meeting. A request should be labeled both on the document and on the envelope "Nuclear Waste Forum". The person making the request should briefly describe the interest concerned; if appropriate, state why she or he is a proper representative of the group of persons that has such an interest; and give a phone number where she or he may be contacted.

DOE reserves the right to select the persons to be scheduled at these meetings, and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the meetings. The length of each presentation may be limited, based on the number of persons requesting to be heard.

Written material is available at all DOE Regional Offices to assist in the preparation of written or oral testimony. This material represents some of the background information the IRG will be using in preparing their report.

An appropriate official will be designated to preside at the meetings. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings. Except during those periods when comments are requested from the floor, only those formally participating in the hearings may ask questions. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he or she desires, to make a supplemental statement which will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitation. Any person attending the meeting who wishes to make a statement or ask a question at the meeting may indicate his interest in doing so, in writing, to the presiding officer. The presiding officer will permit comments/questions from the audience as time limitations permit.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding officer.

Transcripts of the meetings will be made and the entire record of the meetings, including the transcript, will be retained by DOE and made available for inspection at the Freedom of Information Office, room 3116, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on July 13, 1978.

WILLIAM P. DAVIS,
 Deputy Director
 of Administration.

[FR Doc. 78-19761 Filed 7-14-78; 8:45 am]

[6560-01]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 928-6; OPP-30020A]

OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

Referral of Ingredients for Intensive Scientific Review; Clarification

On April 20, 1978 (43 FR 16807), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice reporting the disposition of pesticide ingredients referred to EPA as possible candidates for intensive scientific review under the rebuttable presumption against registration or continued registration (RPAR) program. Interested parties have questioned whether the list of pesticide ingredients accepted as candidates adds to or replaces the existing RPAR candidates; this notice serves to clarify that notice, and provide additional information.

The April 20 FEDERAL REGISTER notice lists pesticide ingredients which an EPA referral panel reviewed and accepted (or rejected) as new candidates for intensive scientific review during the period July 1977 through March 31, 1978. The ingredients listed in that notice are additions to the list of pesticide ingredients which were accepted as RPAR candidates before July 1977. The following list presents those pesticide ingredients accepted before July 1977 and the risk criteria which may possibly be met or exceeded.

In July 1976, there had been 45 pesticide ingredients referred and accepted for intensive scientific review. Of those, two ingredients (sperm oil and lead acetate) were administratively removed from the RPAR process, and three ingredients were the subjects of voluntary cancellation requests by the respective registrants. Official RPAR notices were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on 21 ingredients, leaving only 19 ingredients in review.

Since that time, 43 additional pesticide ingredients have been referred and accepted for review. Of those, two ingredients (picloram and potassium arsenite) have been administratively removed from the RPAR process, and two other ingredients (amitraz and thiophanatemethyl) have the subjects of official RPAR notices. Finally, three arsenical ingredients in review have been regrouped into only two ingredients. Thus, 57 pesticide ingredients listed here and in the April 20 notice (accepted ingredient list) are presently in intensive scientific review.

Cacodylic acid and salts
 Dimethylarsinic acid
 Oncogenicity, mutagenicity, and reproductive effects

Carbaryl
 1-Naphthyl-N-methylcarbamate
 Teratogenicity and effects on non-target organisms

Carbon Tetrachloride (tetrachloromethane)
 Oncogenicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, and
 other chronic effects (embryotoxicity and hepatotoxicity)

Coal tar
 Oncogenicity

Creosote
 Oncogenicity

DDVP
 2,2-Dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate
 Mutagenicity, fetotoxicity, oncogenicity, and reproductive effects

DEF
 Tributyl phosphorotrithioate
 Neurotoxicity

EPN
 O-Ethyl O-(p-nitrophenyl) phenylphosphonothioate
 Neurotoxicity

Erbon
 2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy) ethyl 2,2-dichloropropionate

Oncogenicity, teratogenicity, and fetotoxicity due to dioxin contaminants

Inorganic arsenicals

(Includes Arsenic Acid, Arsenic Pentoxide, Arsenic Trioxide, Sodium Arsenate, Ammonium Arsenite, Sodium Pyroarsenate, Lead Arsenate (Basic and Standard), Calcium Arsenate, Sodium Arsenite, Copper Acetoarsenite)

Oncogenicity, mutagenicity, and teratogenicity

Merphos

Tributyl phosphorotrithioate
Neurotoxicity

Methanearsonates

(Includes Amine Methanearsonate, Calcium Acid Methanearsonate, Monoammonium Methanearsonate (MAMA), Monosodium Methanearsonate (MSMA), Disodium Methanearsonate (DSMA))

Oncogenicity and mutagenicity

Monuron

3-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea
Oncogenicity

OBPA

10,10'-Oxybisphenoxarsine
Reproductive effects

Paraquat dichloride

1,1-Dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium dichloride
Teratogenicity, lack of emergency treatment, chronic effects, reproductive effects, oncogenicity (data gap), mutagenicity (data gap), and acute effects

Pentachlorophenol and derivatives

Fetotoxicity and teratogenicity

Perthane

1,1-Dichloro-2,2-bis(4-ethylphenyl) ethane
Oncogenicity and reproductive effects

Piperonyl butoxide

80 percent (Butylcarbitol) (6-propylpiperonyl) ether and 20 percent related compounds
Oncogenicity

Ronnel

0-0-Dimethyl 0-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate
Oncogenicity, teratogenicity, and fetotoxicity due to dioxin contaminants

Rotenone

1,2,12,12a - Tetrahydro-8,9-dimethoxy-2-(1-methylethenyl)-[1]benzopyran-3,4-bifuro [2,3-h] [1]benzopyran-6(6H)-one
Oncogenicity

Silvex

2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy) propionic acid
Oncogenicity, teratogenicity, and fetotoxicity due to dioxin contaminants

Treflan (Trifluralin)

a,a,a-Trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-N,N-dipropyl p-toluidine
Oncogenicity due to nitrosamine contaminants

Triallate

S-(2,3,3-Trichloroallyl) diisopropylthiocarbamate
Oncogenicity and mutagenicity

Trichloroethylene

Oncogenicity

Trichlorfon

Dimethyl(2,2,2-trichloro-1-hydroxyethyl) phosphonate
Oncogenicity, teratogenicity, and fetotoxicity due to dioxin contaminants

2,4,5-Trichlorophenol and its salts

Oncogenicity, teratogenicity, and fetotoxicity due to dioxin contaminants

Questions concerning this notice should be directed to the Office of Special Pesticide Reviews, Office of Pesticide Programs (WH-566), EPA, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, 202-755-5687.

Dated: July 7, 1978.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator
for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 78-19541 Filed 7-14-78; 8:45 am]

[6560-01]

[FRL 929-1]

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

Receipt

Pursuant to the President's Reorganization Plan No. 1, the Environmental Protection Agency is the official recipient for environmental impact statements (EIS's) and is required to publish the availability of each EIS received weekly. The following is a list of environmental impact statements received by the Environmental Protection Agency from July 3, 1978 through July 7, 1978. The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under the Guidelines of the Council on Environmental Quality the minimum period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is forty-five (45) days; the date of submission of comments is August 28, 1978. The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins the day the statement is made available to the Environmental Protection Agency and to commenting parties.

Copies of individual statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies are also available at 10 cents per page from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

Dated: July 12, 1978.

WILLIAM D. DICKERSON,
Acting Director,
Office of Federal Activities.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Barry Flamm, Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 359A, Washington, D.C. 20250; 202-447-3965.

FOREST SERVICE

Draft

Snow Bowl Ski Area Proposal, Coconino National Forest, Coconino County, Ariz., July 5: This proposal describes six alternatives for the further development of the Snow Bowl Ski Area located in the Coconino

no National Forest, Coconino County, Ariz. The statement also discusses an access road to the Snow Bowl, which must coordinate with the plan for the ski area, the Snow Bowl is a 777-acre permitted ski area which was discussed in the final EIS, San Francisco peaks land use plan, filed in December 1972, which emphasized winter sports as the major use. (USDA-FS-R-3-DES-78-01.) (ELR Order No. 80707.)

Mammoth-Mono Planning Unit, Inyo National Forest, Mono, Madera, and Fresno Counties, Calif., July 7: The proposed action involves the selection of a management plan for the National Forest lands within the Mammoth-Mono Planning Unit (MMPU). The plan will replace or continue the multiple use management plan, which will be in effect until the unit plan is selected. The proposed action does not involve the planning of private lands. The project purpose is to resolve management problems and to respond to physical, biological, social, and economic changes that have occurred since the multiple use management plan was approved in 1970. The entire MMPU is within the counties of Mono, Madera, and Fresno, Calif. (USDA-FS-R5-DES(ADM)-78-07.) (ELR Order No. 80717.)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Final

Rural Abandoned Mine Program (RAMP), National, July 7: The Soil Conservation Service proposes to implement policies, procedures, and regulations for the rural abandoned mine program (RAMP). The purpose of this EIS is to evaluate the potential environmental consequences of implementing the program in different ways. This program (RAMP) will help landowners voluntarily develop and apply plans for reclamation, conservation, and development of eligible lands affected by coal mining. It provides cost sharing to landowners through long-term agreements, funded through congressional appropriations from RAMP funds. Comments made by: USA, DOI, HEW, USDA, EPA, AHP, TVA. (ELR Order No. 80725.)

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash, Office of Environmental Policy Department, Attn.: DAEN-CWR-P, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20314; 202-693-6795.

Draft

Arkansas-Red River Basin Chloride Control, Texas, several counties in Oklahoma, and Kansas, July 5: The purpose of the proposed projects are to reduce by 4,185 tons per day the chloride concentration entering Keystone Lake and the Arkansas River to achieve a concentration level acceptable for municipal, industrial, and agricultural use. The proposed plan for correction in the Arkansas River basin would be to develop and operate collection and disposal facilities at four natural mineral pollution source areas located in Oklahoma. The four areas are: (1) the Salt Fork of the Arkansas River (Area I); (2) on the Cimarron River (Areas II-III); and (3) on Salt Creek, a tributary of the Cimarron River (Area IV). (Tulsa district.) (ELR Order No. 80708.)

Final

Lake Rebecca Park Development, Dakota County, Minn., July 7: Proposed is a plan