-ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING GROUP

Durbin-Coburn Crop Insurance Reform Amendment Reduces Crop Insurance Subsidies to Farmers with an Adjusted Gross Income over \$750,000

- Crop insurance is the only farm income support program that is not subject to some form of payment limitation or means testing.
- Amends the Federal Crop Insurance Act to reduce by 15 percent the level of crop insurance premium subsidies for participants with an Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) over \$750,000.
- Less than one percent of farmers would be affected the vast majority of farmers will see no change in the level of federal premium support.¹
- To illustrate the impact of this reduction, the top three premium subsidy recipients from 2011 are displayed below:
 - #1 (4,800 acres insured in Fla.) Premium subsidies reduced from \$1.9 million to \$1.6 million.
 - #2 (12,500 acres insured in Wash.) Premium subsidies reduced from \$1.7 million to \$1.4 million
 - **#3** (27,000 acres insured in Minn.) Premium subsidies reduced from \$1.6 million to \$1.4 million.
- This amendment does not prohibit farmers from purchasing crop insurance it simply reduces subsidies for those most able to cover more of their own risk.
- A \$750,000 AGI is applied to traditional farm programs and consistent with other payment limitations included in the underlying bill. For example, farmers with an AGI greater than \$750,000 are ineligible to receive direct payments.
- The U.S. Department of Agriculture has more than a decade of experience implementing AGI-related mean tests. USDA maintains a database that crop insurance agents consult to determine a farmer's eligibility AGI could be incorporated into this set of criteria.
- The Congressional Budget Office estimates that this amendment would save more than \$982 million over ten years.
- In 2011, the top one percent of the most profitable farm businesses collected in 15 percent of total premium support at an average of \$220,000 apiece; overall, the top 20 percent collected 73 percent of total premium subsidies at an average of \$55,000 apiece. By contrast, the remaining 80 percent received only 27 percent of these subsidies at an average of \$5000 apiece.
- At a time of record farm incomes and stark fiscal realities, now is the time to ask the largest and most successful farm businesses to cover more of their own risk in order to shield taxpayers from the rising costs of federal crop insurance.

Endorsing Organizations:

¹ Durst, Ron and Williamson, James. Federal Income Tax Reform and the Potential Effects on Harm Households. *Amber Waves* February 21, 2013. Economic Research Service, USDA.

| American Conservative Union | National Catholic Rural Life Conference |
|---|---|
| Americans for Tax Reform | National Hmong American Farmers |
| Campaign for Liberty | National Sustainable Agriculture Coalition |
| Carolina Farm Stewardship Association | National Taxpayers Union |
| Center for Individual Freedom | National Young Farmers Coalition |
| Comeback Farm | Northeast Sustainable Agriculture Working Group |
| Community Food and Justice Coalition | Organic Consumers Association |
| Competitive Enterprise Institute | Pesticide Action Network North America |
| ConservAmerica | R Street Institute |
| Cost of Government Center | Roots of Change |
| Council for Citizens Against Government Waste | San Diego Hunger Coalition |
| Defenders of Wildlife | Slow Food USA |
| Environmental Working Group | Taxpayers for Common Sense |
| Food Democracy Now | Taxpayers Protection Alliance |
| Food Revolution Network | U.S. PIRG |
| Illinois Stewardship Alliance | Vital Systems |
| Kansas Rural Center | Wild Farm Alliance |
| Land Stewardship Project | Women, Food and Agriculture Network |
| Less Government | |
| | |

Michael Fields Agricultural Institute