- 1 A In a way it is, yes.
- 2 Q Why?
- 3 A Because it's really the only epidemiology
- 4 treatment that's out there in the literature of a
- 5 groundwater contamination plume and its potential cancer
- 6 effects in a population.
- 7 O Was there some discussion with PG&E's counsel
- 8 about the fact that this was a very important piece of
- 9 scientific literature about ingestion of chromium and
- 10 carcinogenicity?
- 11 A I think I told you earlier that the judges from
- 12 the first arbitration actually mentioned that to the
- 13 PG&E attorneys, and they relayed -- related that to us.
- 14 Q So did the PG&E attorneys emphasize the fact
- 15 that they thought it was important because the judges
- 16 found it important?
- 17 A I think they took the judges' advice.
- 18 Q And that was communicated to you at ChemRisk?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Are you familiar with the concept of
- 21 transparency of authorship in scientific literature?
- 22 A I'm not sure exactly how you're using it but

- 23 I'm -- I'm familiar with the term "transparency."
- Q What does it mean?
- 25 A Well, it means putting all -- all of the