	·	Page 290				
1	TES DISTRICT COURT					
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA						
2						
3						
) <u> </u>		x				
4	BRENDA R. GOFF, as	:				
T	Administratrix of the Estat	e of :				
5	ROBERT ALLEN PENNINGTON,					
	Deceased,					
6	Plaintiff,	: CIVIL NO: 2:97-0341				
	v					
7	FORD MOTOR COMPANY	: MARCH 15, 2001				
1	FORD MOTOR COMPANI	: VOLUME III				
8						
9	Defendant.					
		x				
10						
11	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL					
		ABLE JOHN COPENHAVER, JR.				
12		ATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
1	AND A JURY					
. 13	APPEARANCES:					
1	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	A. CAMDEN LEWIS				
		MARK W. HARDEE				
15		Lewis, Babcock & Hawkins, LLP				
		P.O. Box 11208				
16		Columbia, SC 29211				
	FOR THE DEFENDANT	MICHAEL BONASSO				
- '		Flaherty, Sensabaugh &				
18		Bonasso				
-5		P.O. Box 3843				
19		Charleston, WV 25338				
20		JOHN R. TRIGG				
]		EDWARD C. STEWART				
21		Wheeler, Trigg & Kennedy, PC				
		1801 California Street				
22		Denver, CO 80202				
23	COURT REPORTERS:					
	COULT INTONIUM.	EXHIVIT				
24		U.S. District Court NO. 3				
- 3		300 Virginia Street East				
25	• •••	Charleston, WV 25301				
دے		Charlescon, mv 25501				

Page 477

- 1 Q. Now, in asking you about that, you said that they suspended
- 2 dynamic testing. Do you remember saying that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What do you mean by they suspended dynamic testing?
- 5 A. Well, in April of 1982, a couple of months before Mr.
- 6 Bickerstaff left, Ford suspended testing of the Bronco II.
- 7 Q. Why?
- 8 A. They have testified that it was out of fear for the safety
- 9 of their test drivers.
- 10 MR. LEWIS: Thank you. That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Let me see counsel at the bench
- 12 a moment.
- 13 (At side bar.)
- 14 (Remarks were made off the record, after which the following
- 15 occurred:)

reason....

- 16 THE COURT: The court finds by a preponderance of the
- evidence that the conspiracy has been shown to have existed
- 18 between Ford and its officers and agents designated in this case
- 19 as well as Mr. Bickerstaff and his company from July 1990 until
- 20) (the year 1996, being the conspiracy alleged in the complaint,
- (21) (and as a consequence coconspirator statements are admissible.
- Now, I called you to the bench because I didn't know whether
- 23 you had anything else to offer in the way of a coconspirator
- 24 statement other than what may have come in for some other

Page 478

1 MR. LEWIS: The only two things that I have left is the

- 2 one that you just took care of there and a Chewning statement
- 3 that you ruled we could use, but I haven't forgot to put it in,
- 4 and I asked them if they knew if it was in and they said they
- 5 didn't think so.
- 6 THE COURT: What I want to know is whether or not the
- 7 ruling, only now being made, you have been precluded from
- 8 presenting anything that you wanted to have presented
- 9 otherwise. I don't think anything was withheld on that account,
- 10 but it might have been and I wanted to be sure.
- MR. LEWIS: I'm not sure I understand. I'm sorry.
- THE COURT: I didn't know whether or not you had
- offered anything that the court declined to receive because the
- 14 court had not made a determination that the conspiracy as
- 15 alleged existed for that purpose, that is, for that evidentiary
- 16 purpose, and if that's not been a problem, we don't need to
- 17 consider it.
- 18 MR. LEWIS: Just two.
- THE COURT: Well, what two things have that been?
- MR. LEWIS: One you reserved and one I just don't know
- 21 if I made the formal offer. There are just two pieces of paper.
- THE COURT: There was one reserved, I remember now. It
- 23 was an exhibit.
- MR. LEWIS: Yes, sir.
 - 5 THE COURT: Well, I can look at it later and we'll see

	Pag	ge	534
--	-----	----	-----

		Page 534	
1	UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
_	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA		
2	AT CHARLESTON		
3		·	
		x	
4	BRENDA R. GOFF, as	:	
	Administratrix of the Estate of	:	
5	ROBERT ALLEN PENNINGTON,	:	
_	Deceased,	: CIVIL NO: 2:97-0341	
6	Plaintiff,	: CIVIL NO: 2:97-0341	
7	V FORD MOTOR COMPANY	: MARCH 16, 2001	
′	FORD MOTOR COMPANI	: VOLUME IV	
8	Defendants.	:	
_		x	
9			
10	TRANSCRIPT O		
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE	·	
11	UNITED STATES		
l,	AND A	JURY	
	APPEARANCES:	CAMDEN LEWIS	
13		K W. HARDEE	
14		is, Babcock & Hawkins, LLP	
		. Box 11208	
15	Col	umbia, SC 29211	
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT MIC	HAEL BONASSO	
	Fla	herty, Sensabaugh &	
17	_	onasso	
		. Box 3843	
18		rleston, WV 25338 N R. TRIGG	
19		ARD C. STEWART	
20		eler, Trigg & Kennedy, PC	
20		1 California Street	
21		ver, CO 80202	
22		BARA STEINKE, RMR	
	ANG	ELA PRESTON, RMR	
23		. District Court	
		Virginia Street East	
4	Cha	rleston, WV 25301	
∠5			

and the second of the second o

- 1 20th, 1990, couldn't have been in furtherance of it. He
- 2 testified the first payment in furtherance of the conspiracy
- 3 was October 31 of --
- 4 THE COURT: I have read the motion. I have already read
- 5 the motion. If you have something else to add, I will be happy
- 6 to hear it.
- 7 MR. STEWART: Just that, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Do the parties have anything further?
- 9 MR. LEWIS: No, sir.
- THE COURT: The court on yesterday found by a
- 11 preponderance of the evidence that the conspiracy alleged in
- 12) (the complaint began in July 1990 and extended until 1996. The
- court finds that that time in 1996 was at least until June 13,
- (14) (1996, which is the date of plaintiff's exhibit 5 and its
- transmission by Mr. Bickerstaff to Mr. Brown at Ford.
- The court finds that the letter of June 13, 1996, which is
- 17 plaintiff's 5, is a document that was prepared and transmitted
- 18 in the course of and in furtherance of the conspiracy and
- 19 accordingly plaintiff's 5 is admitted.
- 20 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 5, PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED, WAS
- 21 ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.
- THE COURT: The court finds as well that plaintiff's 25,
- 23 being Mr. Bickerstaff's statements in the Chewning matter, is
- 24 also admissible as a co-conspirator statement made in
- furtherance of and during the course of the conspiracy.

REPORTERS' CERTIFICATION

I, Barbara Steinke, Registered Merit Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing pages 477 and 478 from the transcript of the trial in Civil Action 2:97-0341, Goff v. Ford Motor Company, is a true and accurate transcript from the record of proceedings in this matter.

April 16, 2001

BarbaraSteenle

I, Angela Preston, Registered Merit Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing pages 290, 534, and 537 from the transcript of the trial in Civil Action No. 2:97-0341, Goff v. Ford Motor Company, is a true and accurage transcript from the record of proceedings in this matter.

April 16, 2001

sharla Droston
