WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENTS OF **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** and **HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES PUBLIC MEETING Regarding C8 MAY 15, 2002** 6 – 8 pm **BLENNERHASSETT JR HIGH SCHOOL** 

# What is C8?

- ammonium perfluorooctanoate (APFO or PFOA)
- fluorocarbon surfactant
- used by DuPont since 1950's at Washington Works plant, WV
- used in production of fluoropolymer resins and finishes such as Teflon

IMPETUS FOR INVESTIGATIONC8 found in Lubeck Public Water SupplyToxicity of similar compound PFOS

• Legal Action – letter March 2001

CONSENT ORDER November 2001 WVDEP & DHHR, and DuPont

CONSENT ORDER 2002 EPA and DuPont (alternate water – 14 ppb interim) CONSENT ORDER November 2001 WVDEP & DHHR, and DuPont established –

C8 Assessment of Toxicity Team (CATT)Groundwater Investigation Steering Team (GIST)

## and DuPont must -

•Reduce total emissions by at least 50% by end of 2003 from 1999 levels (air and water)

•Air emissions capped at 2000 levels

•Ageed to abide by the Screening Levels developed by the CATT; CATT number replaces interim alternate water

**C8** Assessment of Toxicity Team (CATT) Risk Communication Marshall University – Dr James Becker WVDEP - Dr Dee Ann Staats •Development of Risk Factors (oral, inhalation, dermal) and Screening Levels (air, water, soil) for C8 Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment (TERA) WVDEP - Dr Dee Ann Staats •Advisors - EPA, WVDHHR, DuPont •Reimbursement to WV - \$ 250,000 DuPont

## **CATT TOXICOLOGISTS**

#### WV

**DEP – Dee Ann Staats, Ph.D. TERA - Michael Dourson, Ph.D.** Joan Dollarhide, MS, MTSC, JD Andrew Maier, Ph.D., CIH **DHHR – ATSDR - John Wheeler, Ph.D. EPA** – Jennifer Seed, Ph.D. John Cicmanec, DVM, MS Samuel Rotenberg, Ph.D. **DuPont – Gerald Kennedy** Am Health Found. -John Whysner, M.D., Ph.D., D.A.B.T CATT Toxicologist's Meeting May 6 & 7, Cincinnati, OH at EPA Others Attending -James Sferra, MS, OEPA (observer) John Buttenhoff, Ph.D. 3M (study scientist) Dan Briggs, Ph.D., D.A.B.T. (minutes)

Meeting held pursuant to Consent Order, part of Enforcement Action – only applies to DuPont in WV

Not developing a regulatory standard – requires legislation

**GENERAL PROCESS for DETERMINING RISK FACTORS AND SCREENING LEVELS for C8** 

Review Toxicology Data Individually

•TERA reviews data in-depth and identifies potential critical studies, spreadsheet of critical effects and doses

 Provide TERA's info to group for in-depth review individually

•Meet to go over info together and build consensus on Risk Factors and Screening Levels

#### **Potential Key Studies for the Oral RfD:**

1. 3M Corporation. 1983. Two year oral (diet) toxicity/carcinogenicity study for fluorochemical FC-143 in rats. V. 1-4. Riker Experiment No. 0281CR0012. St. Paul, MN: Riker Laboratories. (file: 226-0437)

2. Goldenthal, E.I. 1978. Ninety day subacute rat toxicity study. Final report. St. Paul, MN: International Research and Development Corporation, 3M Corporation.

(file: 226-0255)

3. Palazzolo, M.J. 1993. 13-week dietary toxicity study with T-5180, ammonium perfluorooctanoate (CAS No. 3825-26-1) in male rats. Laboratory ID No. HWI 6329-100. Madison, WI: Hazelton Laboratory, 3M Corporation. (file: 226-0449)

4. Thomford, P.J. 2001. 26-week capsule toxicity study with ammonium perfluorooactanoate (APFO) in cynomolgus monkeys. Laboratory ID No. 6329-231; Sponsor ID No. 3M T-6889.3. Madison, WI: Covance Laboratories, Inc. (file: 6329-231)

5. York, R.G. 2002. Oral (gavage) two-generation (one litter per generation) reproduction study of ammonium perfluorooctanoate. Final Report. Laboratory ID No. 418-020.

#### **Potential Key Studies for the RfC:**

6. Kennedy, G.L., Jr., G.T. Hall, M.R. Brittelli, J.R. Barnes and H.C. Chen. 1986. Inhalation toxicity of ammonium perfluorooctanoate. Food Chem. Toxicol. 24(12): 1325-1329.

#### **Potential Key Studies for the Dermal RfD:**

7. Kennedy, G.L., Jr. 1985. Dermal toxicity of ammonium perfluorooctanoate. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 81(2): 348-355.

#### **Potential Human Studies for Derivation of RfD/RfCs:**

 Gilliland, F.D. and J.S. Mandel. 1996. Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and hepatic enzymes, lipoproteins, and cholesterol: A study of occupationally exposed men. Am. J. Ind. Med. 29: 560-568. (file: 226-0475)
Olsen, G.W., F.D. Gilliland, M.M. Burlew, J.M. Burris, J.S. Mandel, and J.H. Mandel. 1998. An epidemiological investigation of reproductive hormones in mean with occupational exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid. JOEM 40(7): 614-621. (file: 226-0474)
Olsen, G.W., J.M. Burris, M.M. Burlew, and J.H. Mandel. 2000. Plasma cholecystokinin and hepatic enzymes, cholesterol and lipoproteins in ammonium perfluorooctanoate production workers. Drug Chem. Toxicol. 23(4): 603-620. (file: 226-0476)

## **CATT Toxicologist's Meeting Results**

• <u>Dermal Risk Factor</u> - Data Inadequate for Development; not unusual; use Oral Risk Factor as surrogate

• Inhalation Risk Factor - still in development

Data being collected for model parameters such as particle size distribution

Expect to be finished in 3 - 4 weeks

## **CATT Toxicologist's Meeting Results**

- **Oral Risk Factor = 0.004 mg-Kg/day**
- Unanimous decisions
- High Degree of Confidence that won't change significantly in the future
- EPA Region 9 methodology used to calculate screening levels based on oral risk factor
- **Screening Level for Water = 150 ppb**
- **Screening Level for Soil = 240 ppm**

Draft

	Alternative Deriva	tions of the Rf	D and I	RfC Va	lues fo	r C8			
Reference	Critical Effect	Critical Effect Level <sup>a</sup>	UF A	UF H	UF L	UF S	UF D	Composite UF <sup>b</sup>	RfD/RfC
Oral Studies									
Palazzolo et al. (1993) <sup>c</sup> 90-day rat study	Increased relative liver weight with histopathology in male rats	0.47 (NOAEL in males) 0.72 (BMDL)	10	10	1	1	1	100	0.005 0.0072
York et al. (2002) Two-Generation rat study	Increased liver weight in male rats, supported by histopathology at higher doses.	1 (LOAEL in males)	10	_ 10	3	1	1	300	x
	Increased liver weight in male rats, supported by histopathology at higher doses (histopathology was not examined at the lowest dose, but incidence of hypertrophy was 100% at next highest dose).	0.42 (BMDL in males) <b>d</b>	10	10	1	1	1	100	0.004
3M (1983) Two-year rat study	Tubular hyperplasia of the ovarian stroma and clinical signs (ataxia) in female rats.	1.6 (LOAEL in females) 1.57 (BMDL)	10	10	1	1	1	100	0.0157
	Hepatic megalocytosis in male rats.	0.73 (BMDL in males)	10	10	1	1	1	100	0.0073
Thomford et al. (2001) <sup>e</sup> 26-week cynomolgus monkey study	Decreased thyroid hormone levels in male cynomolgus monkeys, and supported by a N AEL at the same dose for ical signs of toxicity in the ritical rhesus monkey	3 - 10 (LOAEL in males)	10	10	3	3	1	1000	0.003 - 0.01

Why the difference in Water Screening Level?

In General -

The CATT Level is based on new data.
The CATT Level considers all the key toxicity studies.

# How does the C8 RfDo compare to that for other chemicals?

The average EPA-developed oral RfD is 0.02 mg-Kg/day which is 5 times higher than C8's of 0.004 mg-Kg/day.

Therefore, C8's risk factor is more conservative or health protective than that for the average chemical. How does the Water Screening Level for C8 compare to that for other chemicals?

<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Water Screening Level (ug/L)</b>				
C8	150				
Cyanide	11				
Strychnine	11				
Formaldehyde	5,500				
Acetone	610				
DDT	0.2				

## What's Next for the CATT?

1. Finalize the Inhalation Reference Dose and determine the Ambient Air Screening Level

- 2. Ecological Risk Assessment
- 3. Continue Public Information Meetings
- 4. Training for Local Physicians