

From: [Sadrieh, Nakissa](#)
To: [Katz, Linda](#)
Cc: [Sadrieh, Nakissa](#)
Subject: Fwd: Formaldehyde
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 8:31:36 AM

Linda,

I am going to remain calm, but all the questions that Phil Chao had asked, and many many more, have been answered in the review. Phil needs to read the review in its entirety, then talk to Susan Bernard and Allison Bonnenburg (the attorney that I wish had stayed with this project), to explain what is the goal here.

Phil needs to read the review, to understand that methylene glycol and formaldehyde are the same thing. He needs to read the review to see that we are only talking about hair smoothers. He needs to read the review to understand that formaldehyde is not added to cosmetics, because it is a gas (which he seems to know), but that it's precursors are added to cosmetics, such as methylene glycol, diazolidinyl urea, quaternium 15 etc...

How do I convey to Phil Chao that he MUST read the review in its entirety. I did not spend so much of my time and the time of Tyna and Raj and others, to write this extremely comprehensive review. Phil has a history of not understanding what I send him. He did not understand anything about the color additives in feminine wash CP, and he dragged it as long as he did, with Marquita Steadman, who are incidentally the same people on the formaldehyde reg. Need I say more?

From: Chao, Philip <Philip.Chao@fda.hhs.gov>
Date: December 19, 2016 at 4:58:14 PM EST
To: Sadrieh, Nakissa <Nakissa.Sadrieh@fda.hhs.gov>
Subject: Formaldehyde

Hi, Nakissa!

I've decided to work on the rule myself, but I have some basic questions.

In no particular order:

(b) (5) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]