

How The Government Lets Major Air Polluters Off The Hook

Wisconsin



### **Acknowledgments**

Above the Law. Thanks to Ken Cook for editing and advice with the report and to Marshall Hendricks for his assistance with the data analysis of Above the Law. We wish to thank Neil Carman, Ed Hopkins, Keri Powell, and Velma Smith for their help in developing and refining the report and the many organizations releasing the report which are too numerous to name here. We would also like to thank the conscientious staff at the Environmental Protection Agency and the AFS and SFIP hotlines for their help with the data requirements of this report.

Above the Law was made possible by grants from the SBF Fund of the Tides Foundation and the Joyce Foundation. The opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the supporters listed above. Environmental Working Group is responsible for any errors of fact or interpretation contained in this report.

Copyright (c) May 1999 by the Environmental Working Group/The Tides Center. All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America. Printed on recycled paper.

## **Environmental Working Group**

The Environmental Working Group is a nonprofit environmental research organization based in Washington, D.C. The Environmental Working Group is a project of the Tides Center, a California Public Benefit Corporation based in San Francisco that provides administrative and program support services to nonprofit programs and projects.

Kenneth A. Cook, President Richard Wiles, Vice President for Research Mike Casey, Vice President for Public Affairs

# To order a copy

Copies of this report may be ordered for \$5.00 each (plus 6% sales tax or \$.30 for Washington, D.C. residents) and \$.75 for postage and handling. Payment must accompany all orders. Please make checks payable to:

Environmental Working Group 1718 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 667-6982 (phone) (202) 232-2592 (fax) info@ewg.org (e-mail)

#### www.ewg.org

This report and many other EWG publications are available on the World Wide Web at www.ewg.org

# **Executive Summary**

An Environmental Working Group analysis of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Clean Air Act enforcement records reveals a persistent pattern of violations of state and federal clean air rules by big polluters in five major industries in Wisconsin. The records, audited by industry and state regulators prior to their release, show that state officials in Wisconsin are doing little to enforce the Clean Air Act, and federal officials with the U.S. EPA are allowing this poor performance to continue. Large industrial corporations are taking advantage of lax enforcement to avoid compliance with clean air rules that they had an active hand in developing though the public comment process.

This new analysis of 28 facilities (25 major facilities) in Wisconsin, from January 1997 through December 1998, shows that:

 Eleven (11) of the twenty-five major facilities analyzed were out of compliance with the Clean Air Act at least one quarter, and an average of four of the eight quarters in the two-year period analyzed. None of these facilities were fined by the state of Wisconsin or the U.S. EPA during that time (Table 1). These facilities include all permitted polluters in the state in auto

- assembly, iron and steel, petroleum refining, pulp manufacturing, and metal smelting and refining industries.
- The parent corporations that owned these facilities reported total combined revenues of \$180 billion in 1998, none of the eleven companies that violated clean air safeguards in Wisconsin were fined (Table 1).
- Three companies, Consolidated Papers, Inc. in Wisconsin Rapids, Globe Building Materials Inc. in Cornell and Packaging Corp. of America in Tomahawk have been out of compliance with the Clean Air Act every quarter for the past eight quarters. None of these facilities was fined at all during that time (Table 1).
- Seven of the twenty-five facilities analyzed were listed as current "significant violators" of the Clean Air Act (Table 2). One of these facilities was fined.

It is clear from this analysis that there is no undue regulatory burden on air polluters in Wisconsin, a finding that severely undermines the rationale for so-called regulatory reform legislation at the federal level. Industry argues that most regulatory actions brought against facilities are

initiated by "overzealous biggovernment regulators" for minor paperwork violations that consume massive amounts of resources for little environmental gain. The facts are that few enforcement actions are brought in the first place and that almost none of the actions are for recordkeeping violations. In both 1997 and 1996, less than two percent of all enforcement actions were concluded with only recordkeeping changes. In contrast to the image of a crushing regulatory burden, this analysis clearly shows that there is barely any enforcement at all of existing clean air health protections and virtually no pressure for air polluters to comply with current pollution control laws.

#### Recommendations

Substantial evidence shows that thousands of large companies routinely violate their pollution permits. They discharge too much waste into waterways, emit excess pollutants into the air, and mismanage the hazardous waste they create or accept for treatment or disposal. These chronic violations of environmental laws add to pollution of air and water, contributing to health risks, and put law-abiding companies at an unfair economic disadvantage. Constrained by limited resources or a lack of political will, regulatory agencies take effective enforcement action against only a relatively small percentage of violators.

Major improvements in air quality in Wisconsin could be achieved just by strict enforcement of current laws and regulations. To achieve this goal however, both state and federal environmental enforcement agencies need to vastly improve their enforcement activities. Industry, in turn, needs to operate without such opportunistic disregard for environmental rules it typically helped to write.

To improve compliance with the Clean Air Act:

- Wisconsin should set strict limits on the discretion of its regulatory agencies. Facilities should not be allowed to be out of compliance with environmental laws for more than two quarters in any one-year period without facing mandatory penalties. A good example of a more effective state enforcement policy is the New Jersey law that is based on the popular "three strikes and you're out" model.
- The regional U.S. EPA office should exercise its authority and take over cases when Wisconsin assesses insufficient fines or delays during the enforcement process.
- U.S. EPA and Wisconsin should help concerned citizens participate in the development and enforcement of air pollution permits issued under Title V of the CAA. U.S. EPA and Wisconsin should monitor state implementation of Title V programs to ensure that the compliance-related information is readily understandable by – and available to – the public.

ABOVE THE LAW 2



Table 1: Many multi-billion corporations in Wisconsin violated the Clean Air Act in the past two years and escaped with little or no fines.

Facility	City	Number of Violations 1997 - 1998*	Penalty**	Revenue
	<u> </u>		renaity	
Consolidated Papers, Inc.	Wisconsin Rapids, WI	8		\$2,000,000,000
Globe Building Materials Inc.	Cornell, WI	8		
Packaging Corp. of America	Tomahawk, WI	8		\$7,597,000,000
Ford Howard Corp.	Green Bay, WI	4		\$7,300,000,000
Charter Manufacturing Co.	Saukville, WI	3		
PH Glatfelter Co.	Neenah, WI	3		
General Motors	Janesville, WI	2		\$161,300,000,000
Mosinee Paper Corp	Mosinee, WI	2		
Wisconsin Tissue Mill	Menasha, WI	2		
Murphy Oil USA Inc.	Superior, WI	1		\$1,700,000,000
Thilmany Pulp and Paper	Kaukauna, WI	1		

Source: Environmental Working Group. Compiled from U.S. EPA SFIP/AFS data, Company Annual Reports and Fortune 500 listings as of April 15, 1999.

Table 2: Industrial facilities currently listed by the Wisconsin EPA as "significant violators" of the Clean Air Act.\*

Facility	City	Penalty**	Revenue
Charter Manufacturing Co.	Saukville, WI	\$0	
Consolidated Papers, Inc.	Wisconsin Rapids, WI	\$0	\$2,000,000,000
Ford Howard Corp.	Green Bay, WI	\$0	\$7,300,000,000
Mosinee Paper Corp	Mosinee, WI	\$0	
Murphy Oil USA Inc.	Superior, WI	\$0	\$1,700,000,000
Repap Wisconsin Inc.	Kimberly, WI	\$525,000	\$12,300,000,000
Wisconsin Tissue Mill	Menasha, WI	\$0	

Source: Environmental Working Group. Compiled from U.S. EPA SFIP/AFS data, Company Annual Reports and Fortune 500 listings as of April 15, 1999.

<sup>\*</sup> Violations are reported quarterly.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The most recent data reports penalty amounts form 1997, 1998 and where available, 1999.

<sup>\*</sup> Listed by the state as a significant violator as of April 15 1999.
\*\* The most recent data reports penalty amounts form 1997, 1998 and where available, 1999.