124, and $909 \pm 209 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in the 3, 10 and $30/20 \,\text{mg/kg/day}$ groups, respectively, and during week 26, the levels were 51.6 ± 13.7 , 109 ± 75.2 , and $19.2 \pm 27.0 \,\mu\text{g/g}$, respectively, in these same groups. During week 2, the levels in the feces were less than the limit of quantitation in the control animals, and 7.43 ± 6.54 , 15.4 ± 10.2 and $56.6 \pm 73.7 \,\text{ug/g}$ in the 3, 10, and $30/20 \,\text{mg/kg/day}$ groups, respectively; during week 26 the levels were 2.92 ± 1.35 , 43.0 ± 36.9 and $10.3 \pm 20.8 \,\mu\text{g/g}$ in the 3, 10, and $30/20 \,\text{mg/kg/day}$ groups, respectively. There is no explanation for the high levels of PFOA seen in the feces of the control animals during week 22. During the recovery period, PFOA levels in both urine and feces fell to levels that were comparable to control levels.

Under the conditions of the study, the LOAEL was 3 mg/kg/day (liver toxicity and possibly mortality) and a NOAEL was not established.